
CMSC 426

Principles of Computer Security

Lecture 07

Introduction to Malware

Last Class We Covered

- Defenses against stack overflow attacks
 - ASLR
 - Stack canaries
 - Preventing stack execution

- Buffer overflow variations
 - return-to-libc
 - Return-oriented programming

Any Questions from Last Time?

Today's Topics

- Malware
- Threat actors
 - APT groups and others
- Attribution
- Threat actor examples
- Malware categories
 - How it spreads

Why Hack Systems?

- Stack overflow attacks can let us gain control of a system (among other things), but what do you do with them?
- What is the end goal?
 - Notoriety
 - Money
 - LOTS of money
 - Political influence

Malware

- Short for “malicious software”
 - May attack applications, editors/compilers, or the kernel level
 - Often delivered through compromised websites or spam emails
- May be silent, logging keystrokes (e.g., passwords)
- May be annoying, constantly popping up advertisements
- May be disruptive, disallowing use of certain programs or parts of the system
- May be exploitative, using cycles or sending mass emails

Threat Actors

Three Classifications

- “Script kiddies”
 - Basic, low stakes

- APT groups
 - Well funded, world players

- Cybercriminals
 - Generic threat actor

“Script Kiddies”

- Largely unskilled
 - Not necessarily young, despite the name
- Use scripts and code created by others

- Often vandalize websites or attack systems and networks
 - Sometimes assumed to not know/understand the consequences

- End goal is street cred, sense of superiority, petty crime

APT Groups

- Advanced
 - Use a wide variety of tactics (including custom malware) specifically chosen for the target
- Persistent
 - Attacks may happen over an extended period against a chosen target, maximizing the chance of success
- Threat
 - Focus on a specific target by experienced, well-funded attackers
 - Often actively involved, instead of simply using automated tools

More on APT Groups

- APT groups are often funded by a specific country
- Countries do not normally admit to this
 - Makes more sense to keep details and information secret

- End goal varies based on the target
 - Information, influence, money, large amounts of personal data

Cybercriminals

- Higher skill level than script kiddies, less organized and well-funded than APT groups
 - Essentially anything that's not the other two
- May work alone or in groups
- End goal is generally money
 - Either directly (scams, hacking financial institutions) or indirectly (planting ransomware, selling access to created botnets)

Threat Actor Examples

APT 1 (“Comment Crew”)

- Exposed in 2013 as being formed of a military group from China
 - People’s Liberation Army Unit 61398
- Has stolen massive amounts of data from organizations
 - Hundreds of terabytes
 - Data includes blueprints, proprietary processes, and contact lists
 - Focus on English-speaking countries
- Maintain access to systems for nearly a year on average, continually revisiting and stealing additional data

Information taken from <https://www.fireeye.com/current-threats/apt-groups.html>

APT 28 (“Fancy Bear”)

- Likely associated with the Russian government
 - US Special Counsel believes it is two GRU units
 - GRU is Russia’s military intelligence agency
- Partially responsible for the DNC hack in early 2016
- Also attacked 2017 elections in France and Germany
- Main goal seems to be political influence

Information taken from <https://www.fireeye.com/current-threats/apt-groups.html> and https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fancy_Bear

Attempting Attribution

TTP (Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures)

- Analyzing the information on how attacks are managed and accomplished to try to identify the group(s) responsible
- Some examples of TTPs:
 - What were the tactics/techniques are used in the attack?
 - How was information gathered prior to attack being carried out?
 - How was the payload delivered?
 - What was the timeline for the attack?
 - What was the target's type?

IOC (Indicators of Compromise)

- Using evidence left behind to identify the group(s) responsible
- Examples:
 - Exact malware used by group (might have been seen before)
 - Infrastructure used for attack
 - IP addresses, domain names, etc.
 - URLs/domain names of botnet Command & Control servers
 - Bitcoin wallet
 - Metadata about the above

Difficulties of Attribution

- Even with this information, can be difficult to attribute attacks
 - Evidence is often ambiguous, or even contradictory
 - Who the target is can also be a factor in attribution
- Possibility of false attribution can also be a problem
- Some groups deliberately leave “fingerprints” after their attack
 - These fingerprints may be deliberate false flags

Malware

Categorizations

- Malware is categorized based on three factors
 - How it spreads/persists
 - What it does
 - What kinds of systems it targets

- A single piece of malware can belong to more than one classification within a category
 - Classifications are fuzzy and overlap
 - These are just general guidelines, not a taxonomy

How Malware Spreads

Worm

- Standalone program
- Replicates itself and spreads automatically
 - Attempt to infect as many computers as possible
- Normally spread via a network
 - Consumes bandwidth; dangerous even if “harmless”
- Usually exploits a vulnerability to do so
 - Or uses previously captured authorization credentials

Worm Example: Conficker

- Exploits the MS08-067 vulnerability (an overflow vulnerability!)
 - Vulnerability was patched before the worm came out
- Still propagating a decade later
 - Mostly on unpatched legacy systems
- Estimated 9 to 15 million computers infected since 2008
- The authors of the worm still have not been identified

Worm Example: Morris Worm

- Released by grad student Robert Morris in November 1988
 - Claimed it was meant to gauge the size of the Internet
 - Debate over his true intentions
- Infected about 10% of computers connected to the Internet in 1988
- Spreading mechanism led it to re-infect machines, which slowed or crashed them



Worm Example: Morris Worm (cont)

- Once it was on a system, it obtained a list of all known hosts that would allow entry from the current host
- Then tried to gain access to each one, by either
 1. Attempting to log on as a legitimate user, using a simplified brute force method of password cracking
 2. Exploit a bug in the **finger** protocol
 3. Exploit the debug option of the mail receiving program
- Infected systems would respond they were infected
 - 1 out of 7 times, the worm would propagate regardless

File Infector

- Also commonly called a virus
 - (But ***not everything*** is a virus! Watch your language!)
- Inserts its own code into executable files to persist and spread
 - Code is now “infected code”
 - When the infected executable is run, the virus also executes
- Virus is spread when the infected executable is copied onto another system or otherwise spread

Trojan (or Trojan Horse)

- Malicious program that appears to have a useful function
- Often spread by social engineering
 - Executing email attachments
 - Clicking on advertisements
- Payloads can be a variety of things, including backdoors, ransomware, etc.



Daily Security Tidbit

- September 2018, the creators of the Mirai botnet were sentenced to probation (instead of jail time)
 - Provided “extraordinary cooperation” with the government
- Mirai infects and takes over things like routers and DVRs
 - Then uses them in large-scale botnet attacks like DDoS
 - Creators rented out “slices” of the botnet to other cybercriminals
- Released the code in an attempt to obscure their authorship
 - Copied by others, and used to cause even more damage

Information taken from <https://krebsonsecurity.com/2018/09/mirai-botnet-authors-avoid-jail-time/>